

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/967,272 | | 09/28/2001 | Carlton Bartels | CF-55 | 6788 |
| 1473 | 7590 | 11/10/2003 | , | EXAM | INER |
| FISH & NI | | THE AMEDICAS | SNAPP, SANDRA S | | |
| 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105 | | | • | 3624 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| ,ur | | 09/967,272 | BARTELS ET AL. | | | | |
| • , | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · | Sandra Snapp | 3624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the days are the days and the set of the set o | TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iton. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status 1\⊠ | Pagnancivo to communication(s) filed o | n 02 July 2002 | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ 2a)⊟ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for | | ere prosecution as to the marits is | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice uon of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖾 | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the appli | cation. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi | thdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-21 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| /— | The specification is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | |
| 10)🖾 ¯ | Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> i | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The proposed drawing correction filed on | | sapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 40)□- | If approved, corrected drawings are required | | | | | | |
| ,— | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | |
| _ | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | 4404) ()) | | | | |
| •— | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation fee the attached detailed Office action for | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14)⊠ A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for do | mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| |) | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 48) 5) Notice of Ir | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7-2-2 was filed in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "late breaking" in claim 18 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "late breaking" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite time, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Pugliese III, et al. publication (US 2001/0044751 A1).

The Pugliese reference discloses a method for simulating trading using an electronic trading application, comprising:

Allowing a user to participate in an electronic trading simulation (page 1, paragraph 0008 - simulates live auction) and allowing a simulation administrator to modify parameters in connection with the electronic trading simulation in real-time (page 1, paragraph 0008 - real time)(claims 1, 7 and 21);

Allowing the administrator to log on (page 7, paragraph 0139 – ShopLive Administrators, it is inherent in an online system that the participants must log on to the system)(claim 2);

Allowing the administrator to modify a real-time timeline (page 20, paragraph 0375 – scheduled events)(claim 3);

Allowing the administrator to create a news announcement (page 20, paragraph 0375 - notifications)(claim 4);

Allowing the administrator to release the news announcement (page 20, paragraph 0375 - notifications)(claims 5 and 6);

Allowing the administrator to modify parameters in connection with an organization that is participating in the simulation (page 7, paragraph 0139)(claim 8);

Allowing the administrator to modify parameters in connection with a country that is participating in the simulation (page 7, paragraph 0139)(claim 9);

Art Unit: 3624

Allowing the user to log on (page 13, paragraph 250)(claim 10);

Allowing the user to review information about the electronic trading simulation (page 7, paragraph 0135 & 0136)(claim 11);

Allowing the user to select an organization to participate in the simulation (page 10, paragraph 0194 & 0195)(claim 12);

Allowing the user to review the position of an organization selected to participate (page 10, paragraph 0194 & 0195)(claim 13);

Allowing the user to generate credits in connection with an organization selected to participate (page 22, paragraph 0387 - incentives)(claim 14);

Allowing the user to review a news announcement (page 20, paragraph 0375 - notifications)(claim 17);

Allowing the user to review a late breaking news announcement (as best understood – page 20, paragraph 0375- notifications)(claim 18);

Allowing the user to review a timeline of sessions of the electronic trading simulation (page 20, paragraph 0375 – scheduled events)(claim 19); and

Allowing the user to review a report at the end of the electronic trading simulation (page 4, paragraph 80 - reports)(claim 20).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugliese reference as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sowinski (US 6,601,033 B1).

The Pugliese reference discloses the elements of the present invention except for allowing the user to trade carbon dioxide equivalent emission reductions using the electronic

Application/Control Number: 09/967,272 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

trade application. The Sowinski reference teaches allowing the user to trade carbon monoxide equivalent emission reductions using the electronic trade application (col. 1, lines 43-54 – exchanges and col. 6, line 64- carbon monoxide reductions)(claim 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Pugliese reference with the teachings of the Sowinski reference because an auction system allows for buyers and sellers in various geographic regions to trade excess emission reductions while allowing those in need to acquire such reductions. Since Pugliese discloses a trading system for any type of good, and also since Sowinski discloses a trading system specifically geared toward carbon monoxide reductions, it would have been obvious to combine the "goods" of the Sowinski patent with the auction of the Pugliese patent so as to reach an expansive audience yet provide a reasonable means of trading reductions.

Also, although the Sowkinski reference specifically discloses a system for carbon *monoxide* emission reductions, the Examiner takes Official Notice that the actual number of carbon molecules present in the emission is irrelevant to the trading system therefore. It would be obvious to use the same trading system for carbon *monoxide* and for carbon *dioxide* emission reductions since the actual process is really directed to the trading of a good, in this case an emission reduction. And the trading system is capable of working with any type of good that would encompass both carbon monoxide emission reductions as well as carbon dioxide emission reductions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/967,272 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose or show a method for simulating trading wherein the user is allowed to generate internal reductions in connection with an organization within the system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Harrington et al., Messmer et al., Pugliese, III et al., Selleck, Ausubel (both), Erickson, and Annunziata patents all disclose electronic systems having simulations encompassed therein. The Soestbergen et al., Shoham, Fisher et al., and Levine et al. patents are all directed to electronic auction systems. The Erickson, Sowinski and Satake patents all disclose various types of electronic systems associated with resources.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Art Unit: 3624

Vine I Helle

Page 7

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600